



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

HJ

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,128	09/09/2003	Daniel J. Vavrick	84208	5431
23501	7590	04/27/2007	EXAMINER	
NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER DAHLGREN DIVISION OFFICE OF COUNSEL, CODE XDC1 17632 DAHLGREN ROAD SUITE 121 DAHLGREN, VA 22448-5110			GELLNER, JEFFREY L	
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
				3643
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS	04/27/2007		PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/658,128	VAVRICK, DANIEL J.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeffrey L. Gellner	3643	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 February 2007.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-7,9,11,12, 29 and 30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-7,9,11,12,29 and 30 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7, 11, 29, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hightower Jr. et al. (US 4,764,319) in view of Halcomb et al. (US 4,963,203).

As to claims 1-7, 11, 29, and 30, Hightower Jr. et al. disclose a reactive material (from abstract) comprising a metal foam, aluminum, (from col. 2 lines 54-58) having voids (from “reticulated” of col. 2 lines 54-58 and col. 3 lines 21-26); a polymer (“Binder” of example of col. 6 lines 5-14 imbibed in the void area (from col. 6 language in claim 1 of “including voids in the reticulated structure with the propellant material”); and, an additive in the polymer of aluminum and iron oxide particles (col. 6 lines 1-14). Not disclosed is the metal foam being reactive with the polymer. Halcomb et al., however, discloses the use of Teflon with thermite (from col. 1 lines 33-38). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the composite of Hightower Jr. et al. by using Teflon as the binder as disclosed by Halcomb et al. so as to increase gas production (see Halcomb et al. at col. 1 lines 33-38).

As to claim 12, the limitations of claim 1 are disclosed as described above. Not disclosed is the composite used as an ordnance. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

art at the time of the invention to further modify the composite of Hightower Jr. et al. as modified by Halcomb et al. by using as an ordnace because the composite produces gas and pressure.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 20 February 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argument is that neither Schweizer et al.Niebylski, nor Allen disclose the limitations of claim 1, in particular a polymer that is reactive with the metal foam (Remarks page 10-13, especially middle of page 11). Examiner has applied new art of Hightower Jr. et al. in view of Halcomb et al. which disclose the limitations of claim 1 including a metal foam with a reactive binder. The combination is proper because: (1) it includes all the limitations of the claim as shown above; (2) has at least an explicit motivation to combine found in Halcomb et al.; and, (3) has a reasonable expectation of success because both references deal with compositions that produce pressure and gases.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey L. Gellner whose telephone number is 571.272.6887. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Poon can be reached on 571.272.6891. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



Jeffrey L. Gellner
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3643